# Lecture 6: Shared-Memory Programming Helen Xu hxu615@gatech.edu









**Georgia Tech College of Computing** School of Computational Science and Engineering

#### **Recall: Shared-memory multiprocessors**



A shared-memory multiprocessor single memory system.

All threads can access the global memory space.

From UC Berkeley CS267



E.g. Intel Haswell

#### A shared-memory multiprocessor (SMP) connects multiple processors to a



#### Multicore processors



E.g. Intel Haswell

#### From MIT OCW 6.172

Q: Why do semiconductor vendors provide chips with **multiple processor cores**?

A: Because of **Moore's law** and the end of the scaling of **clock frequency**.





10,000,000 1,000,000 100,000 10,000 1,000 100 10 0

From MIT OCW 6.172



#### **Recall: Power density**



The growth of power density, as seen in 2004, if the scaling of clock frequency had continued its trend of 25%-30% increase per year.

From Rich Vuduc

Is it better to increase speed by doubling frequency or cores?

Performance  $\propto$  (cores) x (freq)

Power  $\propto$  (cores) x (freq<sup>2.5</sup>)





#### Technology scaling



From MIT OCW 6.172



#### Abstract multicore architecture



Chip Multiprocessor (CMP)

From MIT OCW 6.172



#### Shared-Memory Hardware



















- M or S states.
- •S: Other caches may be **sharing** this block
- •I: cache block is **invalid** (the same as not there)



Before a cache modifies a location, the hardware first invalidates all other copies.

From MIT OCW 6.172

#### **MSI** Protocol



- M or S states.
- •S: Other caches may be **sharing** this block
- •I: cache block is **invalid** (the same as not there)



From MIT OCW 6.172

#### **MSI** Protocol



- M or S states.
- •S: Other caches may be **sharing** this block
- •I: cache block is **invalid** (the same as not there)



From MIT OCW 6.172

#### **MSI** Protocol

- M or S states.
- •S: Other caches may be **sharing** this block
- •I: cache block is **invalid** (the same as not there)



From MIT OCW 6.172

#### **MSI** Protocol



#### **Concurrency Platforms**



### **Concurrency platforms**

- Programming directly on processor cores is painful and error-prone. • A concurrency platform abstracts processor cores, handles synchronization and communication protocols, and performs load
- balancing.
- Examples include: Pthreads, Cilk, OpenMP.



Theory

From MIT OCW 6.172

**Multithreading** 

**Practice** 



#### Fibonacci numbers

# The Fibonacci numbers are the sequence $\langle 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, ... \rangle$ , where each number is the sum of the previous two.



From MIT OCW 6.172





#### Fibonacci program

```
#include <inttypes.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
int64_t fib(int64_t n) {
  if (n < 2) {
    return n;
  } else {
    int64 t x = fib(n-1);
    int64_t y = fib(n-2);
    return (x + y);
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
  int64_t n = atoi(argv[1]);
  int64_t result = fib(n);
  printf("Fibonacci of %" PRId64 " is %" PRId64 ".\n",
         n, result);
  return 0;
```

From MIT OCW 6.172

**Disclaimer to Algorithms Police** This recursive program is a poor way to compute the nth Fibonacci number, but it provides for a good didactic example.





Key idea for parallelization The calculations of fib(n-1) and fib(n-2) can be executed simultaneously without mutual interference.

From MIT OCW 6.172

int64\_t fib(int64\_t n) { return n; } else { int64\_t x = fib(n-1); $int64_t y = fib(n-2);$ return (x + y);



- Standard API for threading specified by ANSI/IEEE POSIX 1003.1-2008. • **Do-it-yourself** concurrency platform.
- Built as a library of functions with "special" non-C semantics.
- Each thread implements an abstraction of a processor, which are multiplexed onto machine resources.
- Threads communicate though shared memory.
- Library functions mask the protocols involved in interthread coordination.



http://www.csc.villanova.edu/~mdamian/threads/posixthreads.html

From MIT OCW 6.172

#### Pthreads



### **Key Pthread Functions**

```
int pthread_create(
   pthread_t *thread,
    //returned identifier for the new thread
   const pthread_attr_t *attr,
    //object to set thread attributes (NULL for default)
   void *(*func)(void *),
    //routine executed after creation
   void *arg
    //a single argument passed to func
) //returns error status
```

int pthread\_join(
 pthread\_t thread,
 //identifier of thread to wait for
 void \*\*status
 //terminating thread's status (NULL to ignore)
) //returns error status

#### From MIT OCW 6.172







```
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
  pthread_t thread;
 thread_args args;
 int status;
 int64_t result;
 if (argc < 2) { return 1; }
 int64_t n = strtoul(argv[1], NULL, 0);
 if (n < 30) {
   result = fib(n);
  } else {
   args.input = n-1;
   status = pthread_create(&thread,
                            NULL,
                            thread_func,
                            (void*) &args);
   // main can continue executing
   if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
   result = fib(n-2);
   // wait for the thread to terminate
   status = pthread_join(thread, NULL);
   if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
   result += args.output;
  printf("Fibonacci of %" PRId64 " is %" PRId64 ".\n",
         n, result);
 return 0;
```





```
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
  pthread_t thread;
 thread args args;
 int status;
 int64_t result;
  if (argc < 2) { return 1; }
  int64_t n = strtoul(argv[1], NULL, 0);
  if (n < 30) {
   result = fib(n);
  } else {
   args.input = n-1;
   status = pthread_create(&thread,
                            NULL,
                            thread_func,
                            (void*) &args);
   // main can continue executing
   if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
   result = fib(n-2);
   // wait for the thread to terminate
   status = pthread_join(thread, NULL);
   if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
   result += args.output;
  printf("Fibonacci of %" PRId64 " is %" PRId64 ".\n",
         n, result);
 return 0;
```





```
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
 pthread_t thread;
 thread args args;
 int status;
 int64_t result;
 if (argc < 2) { return 1; }
 int64_t n = strtoul(argv[1], NULL, 0);
 if (n < 30) {
   result = fib(n);
 } else {
   args.input = n-1;
   status = pthread_create(&thread,
                            NULL,
                            thread func,
                            (void*) &args);
   // main can continue executing
   if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
   result = fib(n-2);
   // wait for the thread to terminate
   status = pthread_join(thread, NULL);
   if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
   result += args.output;
 printf("Fibonacci of %" PRId64 " is %" PRId64 ".\n",
        n, result);
 return 0;
```



```
#include <inttypes.h>
#include <pthread.h>
                               No point in
#include <stdio.h>
                                creating
#include <stdlib.h>
                             thread if there
int64_t fib(int64_t n) {
                              isn't enough
  if (n < 2) {
    return n;
                                  to do
  } else {
    int64_t x = fib(n-1);
    int64_t y = fib(n-2);
    return (x + y);
typedef struct {
  int64_t input;
  int64_t output;
} thread_args;
void *thread_func(void *ptr) {
  int64_t i = ((thread_args *) ptr)->input;
  ((thread_args *) ptr)->output = fib(i);
  return NULL;
```

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
  pthread_t thread;
  thread_args args;
  int status;
  int64_t result;
  if (argc < 2) { return 1; }
  int64_t n = strtoul(argv[1], NULL, 0);
  if (n < 30) {
    result = fib(n);
  } else {
    args.input = n-1;
    status = pthread_create(&thread,
                            NULL,
                            thread_func,
                            (void*) &args);
    // main can continue executing
    if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
    result = fib(n-2);
    // wait for the thread to terminate
    status = pthread_join(thread, NULL);
    if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
    result += args.output;
  printf("Fibonacci of %" PRId64 " is %" PRId64 ".\n",
         n, result);
  return 0;
```



```
#include <inttypes.h>
#include <pthread.h>
                               No point in
#include <stdio.h>
                                creating
#include <stdlib.h>
                             thread if there
int64_t fib(int64_t n) {
                              isn't enough
 if (n < 2) {
    return n;
                                  to do
  } else {
    int64_t x = fib(n-1);
   int64_t y = fib(n-2);
    return (x + y);
typedef struct {
  int64_t input;
  int64_t output;
} thread_args;
void *thread_func(void *ptr) {
  int64_t i = ((thread_args *) ptr)->input;
  ((thread_args *) ptr)->output = fib(i);
  return NULL;
```

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
  pthread_t thread;
  thread_args args;
                                 Marshal input
  int status;
  int64_t result;
                                  argument to
                                     thread
  if (argc < 2) { return 1; }
  int64_t n = strtoul(argv[1], N
  if (n < 30) {
    result = fib(n);
  } else {
    args.input = n-1;
    status = pthread_create(&thread,
                            NULL,
                            thread func,
                            (void*) &args);
    // main can continue executing
    if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
    result = fib(n-2);
    // wait for the thread to terminate
    status = pthread_join(thread, NULL);
    if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
    result += args.output;
  printf("Fibonacci of %" PRId64 " is %" PRId64 ".\n",
        n, result);
  return 0;
```



```
#include <inttypes.h>
#include <pthread.h>
                              No point in
#include <stdio.h>
                                creating
#include <stdlib.h>
                            thread if there
int64_t fib(int64_t n) {
                             isn't enough
  if (n < 2) {
   return n;
                                 to do
  } else {
   int64_t x = fib(n-1);
   int64_t y = fib(n-2);
   return (x + y);
                            Create thread
                              to execute
typedef struct {
                                fib(n-1)
  int64_t input;
  int64_t output;
} thread_args;
void *thread_func(void *ptr) {
  int64_t i = ((thread_args *) ptr)->input;
  ((thread_args *) ptr)->output = fib(i);
  return NULL;
```

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
  pthread t thread;
  thread_args args;
                                 Marshal input
  int status;
  int64_t result;
                                  argument to
                                     thread
  if (argc < 2) { return 1; }
  int64_t n = strtoul(argv[1], N
  if (n < 30) {
    result = fib(n);
  } else {
    args.input = n-1;
    status = pthread_create(&thread,
                            NULL,
                            thread func,
                            (void*) &args);
    // main can continue executing
    if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
    result = fib(n-2);
    // wait for the thread to terminate
    status = pthread_join(thread, NULL);
    if (status != NULL) { return 1; }
    result += args.output;
  printf("Fibonacci of %" PRId64 " is %" PRId64 ".\n",
        n, result);
  return 0;
```



```
#include <inttypes.h>
#include <pthread.h>
                              No point in
#include <stdio.h>
                                creating
#include <stdlib.h>
                            thread if there
int64_t fib(int64_t n) {
                             isn't enough
  if (n < 2) {
   return n;
                                 to do
  } else {
   int64_t x = fib(n-1);
   int64_t y = fib(n-2);
   return (x + y);
                            Create thread
                              to execute
typedef struct {
                                fib(n-1)
  int64_t input;
  int64_t output;
} thread_args;
void *thread_func(void *ptr) {
  int64_t i = ((thread_args *) ptr)->input;
  ((thread_args *) ptr)->output = fib(i);
  return NULL;
```













### Issues with pthreads

| Overhead           | The cost of<br>cycles ⇒ co<br>(Thread po             |
|--------------------|--|
| Scalability        | Fibonacci c<br>speedup fo<br>for more co             |
| Modularity         | The Fibona<br>encapsulat                             |
| Code<br>Simplicity | Programme<br>(shades of<br>error-prone<br>load-balan |

- f creating a thread >10<sup>4</sup> oarse-grained concurrency. ols can help.)
- code gets at most about 1.5 or 2 cores. Need a rewrite
- ores.
- lcci logic is no longer neatly
  led in the fib() function.
- ers must marshal arguments 1958!) and engage in e protocols in order to
- ce.



### OpenMP

- First introduced in 1997.
- Specification by an industry consortium.
- Several compilers available, both open-source and proprietary, including GCC, ICC, Clang, and Visual Studio.
- Linguistic extensions to C/C++ and Fortran in the form of compiler pragmas.
- Runs on top of native threads.
- Supports loop parallelism, task parallelism, and pipeline parallelism.



https://www.openmp.org/



### Three OpenMP building blocks

#### • Compiler directives - e.g.,

- variable types: private, shared
- parallel tasks, parallel for
- Runtime libraries / APIs e.g.,
  - omp\_set/get\_num\_threads, omp\_get\_thread\_num, etc.
- Environment variables e.g.,
  - OMP\_NUM\_THREADS, OMP\_SCHEDULE, etc.

From Ramki Kannan



# Fork-join model

- OpenMP programs begin with a single process: the master thread.
- The master thread executes sequentially until the first parallel region construct is encountered.
- the code in the parallel region.
- Join: When the team threads complete the statements in the parallel



• Fork: the master thread then creates a team of parallel threads to execute

region, they synchronize and terminate, leaving only the master thread.



### OpenMP usage in C/C++

- Usage: #pragma omp directive [clauses] newline
- Compile with the -fopenmp flag

From Ramki Kannan

•Add #include <omp.h> at the top of your file with the other includes





From MIT OCW 6.172





From MIT OCW 6.172

The following statement is an independent task





From MIT OCW 6.172

The following statement is an independent task Sharing of memory is managed explicitly

Shared variables have one version for all the threads.

Most variables are shared by default: with a few exceptions e.g., iteration variables









From MIT OCW 6.172

The following statement is an independent task Sharing of memory is managed explicitly

Wait for the two tasks to complete before continuing Shared variables have one version for all the threads.

Most variables are shared by default: with a few exceptions e.g., iteration variables







#### Parallel for example- Saxpy





#### From Ramki Kannan







### **OMP Load Balancing**

OpenMP provides different methods to divide iterations among threads, indicated by the schedule clause: schedule (<method>, [chunk size])

Methods include:

- Dynamic: each thread grabs a chunk of iterations, then requests are executed.

| 4 threads, 1 | 00 iterations |                |                 |                |
|--------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Sahadula     |               | Iterations map | ped onto thread |                |
| Schedule     | 0             | 1              | 2               | 3              |
| Static       | 1-25          | 26-50          | 51-75           | 76-100         |
| Static,20    | 1-20, 81-100  | 21-40          | 41-60           | 61-80          |
| Dynamic      | $1,\cdots$    | $2, \cdots$    | $3,\cdots$      | $4, \cdots$    |
| Dynamic,10   | $1-10,\cdots$ | $11-20,\cdots$ | $21-30,\cdots$  | $31-40,\cdots$ |
|              |               |                |                 |                |

From Ramki Kannan

• Static: the default schedule; divide iterations into chunks according to size, then distribute chunks to each thread in a round-robin manner. another chunk upon completion of the current one, until all iterations



# **OMP Variables Scope - private**

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
                            Creates local
#include <omp.h>
                         (uninitialized) copy
                           of the specified
int main(void){
                          variables for each
  int i;
                               thread
  int x;
  x=44;
  #pragma omp parallel for private(x)
  for(i=0;i<=10;i++){</pre>
    x=i;
    printf("Thread number: %d
                                    Х:
    %d\n",omp_get_thread_num(),x);
  printf("x is %d\n", x);
                               Thread num at
                                   runtime
```

https://michaellindon.github.io/lindonslog/programming/openmp/openmp-tutorial-firstprivate-and-lastprivate/





# **OMP Variables Scope - lastprivate**

```
#include <stdio.h>
                           Special case of
#include <stdlib.h>
                         private: allows us to
#include <omp.h>
                          keep value of x at
                          the last iteration
int main(void){
                           after the parallel
  int i;
                               region
  int x;
  x=44;
  #pragma omp parallel for lastprivate(x)
  for(i=0;i<=10;i++){</pre>
    x=i;
    printf("Thread number: %d
                                     Х:
    %d\n",omp get thread num(),x);
  printf("x is %d\n", x);
```

https://michaellindon.github.io/lindonslog/programming/openmp/openmp-tutorial-firstprivate-and-lastprivate/







```
Special case of
#include <stdio.h>
                          private: initializes
#include <stdlib.h>
                        each thread's private
#include <omp.h>
                         copy of the variable
                         with the value it had
int main(void){
                          before the parallel
  int i;
                              construct
  int x;
  x=44;
  #pragma omp parallel for firstprivate(x)
  for(i=0;i<=10;i++){</pre>
    x=i;
    printf("Thread number: %d
                                     Χ:
    d^n, omp get thread num(), x);
  printf("x is %d\n", x);
```

https://michaellindon.github.io/lindonslog/programming/openmp/openmp-tutorial-firstprivate-and-lastprivate/

### **OMP Variables Scope - firstprivate**





### **OMP Reduction Example**

```
int main()
 int i, n;
 n = 10000;
 float a[n], b[n];
 double result, sequential result;
 /* Some initializations */
 result = 0.0;
 for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
   a[i] = i * 1.0; b[i] = i * 2.0;
 #pragma omp parallel for default(shared)
 private(i) schedule(static)
 reduction(+ : result)
 for (i = 0; i < n; i++)
   result = result + (a[i] * b[i]);
 printf("Final result= %f\n", result);
 return 0;
```

From Ramki Kannan

- The reduction clause allows accumulative operations on the value of variables.
- Syntax:
  - reduction (operator:variable list)
- Operators:
  - Arithmetic (i.e., +, \*, -)
  - Bitwise (i.e., &, |, ^)
  - Logical (i.e., &&, ||)



### **Determinacy Races and Mutual Exclusion**



#### **Determinacy Races**

A **determinacy race** occurs when two logically parallel instructions access the same memory location and at least one of the instructions performs a write.

A int x = 0; #pragma omp parallel for for (i = 0; i < 2; i++) { x++; } D assert(x == 2);

From MIT OCW 6.172





#### A Closer Look



From MIT OCW 6.172





# suppose that $A \parallel B$ (A is parallel to B).

| Α     | B     | Race Type  |
|-------|-------|------------|
| read  | read  | none       |
| read  | write | read race  |
| write | read  | read race  |
| write | write | write race |

# between them.

From MIT OCW 6.172

#### **Types of Races**

Suppose that instruction A and instruction B both access a location x, and

Two sections of code are independent if they have no determinacy races



### Avoiding Races

- Iterations of parallel for should ideally be independent.
- Between parallel tasks and the corresponding taskwait, the code of the spawned task should be independent of the code of the parent, including code executed by additional spawned tasks.



From MIT OCW 6.172

https://www.researchgate.net/ figure/A-dag-representing-themultithreaded-computation-of-Fib4-Threads-are-shown-ascircles\_fig1\_2817427





### Locks and Mutual Exclusion

data in parallel, use locks to protect it.

A lock can be held by at most one thread at a time.

lock t lock;

parallel\_for ( i = 0; i < n; i++ ) {</pre> set\_lock(&lock);

unset\_lock(&lock);

Note: Locks are not cheap (performance-wise)

From MIT OCW 6.172

- A thread lock is a form of **mutual exclusion**. If you must access the same

- // only one thread at a time can access this part



#### **OMP Locks**

#### The syntax for locks in OpenMP (omp lock t) is as follows:

The four operations are:

- •omp\_init\_lock(omp\_lock\_t \*) initialize a lock
- omp set lock(omp lock  $t^*$ ) wait until the lock is available, then set it. No other thread can set the lock until it is released
- omp unset lock(omp lock  $t^*$ ) unset (release) the lock
- •omp destroy lock(omp lock t\*) The reverse of omp\_init\_lock

https://wgropp.cs.illinois.edu/courses/cs598-s15/lectures/lecture19.pdf https://www.openmp.org/spec-html/5.0/openmpse31.html





#### (next lecture will be all about nondeterminism and locks)



**Declare lock** 

- // only one thread at a time can access this part

https://www.openmp.org/spec-html/5.0/openmpse31.html



### Measuring Parallel Programs



Scalability is the ability of hardware and software to deliver greater computational power when the amount of resources is increased.

time and  $T_p$  is the time to run on p processors.



### **Parallel Scalability**

# **Speedup** in parallel computing is defined as $T_1/T_p$ , where $T_1$ is the serial

https://web.eecs.utk.edu/~huangj/hpc/hpc\_intro.php https://www.kth.se/blogs/pdc/2018/11/scalability-strong-and-weak-scaling/





From Ramki Kannan

### Amdahl's Law and Strong Scaling

- Amdahl's law bounds strong scaling, or the speedup of a fixed problem given more parallel resources.
  - s = fraction of work done segentially (Amdahl
  - 1-s = parallelizable fractionP = number of processors

For a fixed problem, the upper limit of speedup is determined by the serial fraction.





#### Gustafson's Law and Weak Scaling



https://www.kth.se/blogs/pdc/2018/11/scalability-strong-and-weak-scaling/

- In practice, the problem sizes often scale with the amount of available resources.
- Gustafson's law based on approximations that the parallel part scales, but the serial part does not increase wrt the size of the problem
  - Scaled speedup = s + (1-s) \* P
- Weak scaling: scaled speedup is calculated based on the amount of work done for a scaled problem size.



#### Example: Julia Set

A Julia set is associated with a complex function and can be converted to an image by mapping each pixel onto the complex plane.

The total size of the image in this example is parametrized by integers *h* and *w*.



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Julia\_set https://www.kth.se/blogs/pdc/2018/11/scalability-strong-and-weak-scaling/



#### **Example: Julia Set Code**

# pragma omp parallel for schedule(dynamic) \ shared ( h, w, xl, xr, yb, yt ) \ private ( i, j, k, juliaValue ) for ( j = 0; j < h; j++ ) {</pre> for ( i = 0; i < w; i++) {</pre> // some O(1) calculation

> https://people.sc.fsu.edu/~jburkardt/c\_src/julia\_set\_openmp/julia\_set\_openmp.c https://www.kth.se/blogs/pdc/2018/11/scalability-strong-and-weak-scaling/



#### Strong scaling is measured by varying the number of threads while keeping the problem size (in this case, the width and height) constant.

**Table 1:** Strong scaling for Julia set generator code

| height | width | threads | t   |
|--------|-------|---------|-----|
| 10000  | 2000  | 1       | 3.9 |
| 10000  | 2000  | 2       | 2.0 |
| 10000  | 2000  | 4       | 1.0 |
| 10000  | 2000  | 8       | 0.6 |
| 10000  | 2000  | 12      | 0.4 |
| 10000  | 2000  | 16      | 0.3 |
| 10000  | 2000  | 24      | 0.2 |

https://www.kth.se/blogs/pdc/2018/11/scalability-strong-and-weak-scaling/

### Measuring Strong Scaling





# Measuring Weak Scaling

Weak scaling is measured by varying the number of threads and the problem size proportionally with the thread count. In this example, the height is scaled and the width is kept constant.

Given p threads, scaled speedup is defined as efficiency \* p, where efficiency is  $T_{1}/T_{p}$ .

| height | width | threads | time      |
|--------|-------|---------|-----------|
| 10000  | 2000  | 1       | 3.940 sec |
| 20000  | 2000  | 2       | 3.874 sec |
| 40000  | 2000  | 4       | 3.977 sec |
| 80000  | 2000  | 8       | 4.258 sec |
| 120000 | 2000  | 12      | 4.335 sec |
| 160000 | 2000  | 16      | 4.324 sec |
| 240000 | 2000  | 24      | 4.378 sec |

**Table 2:** Weak scaling for Julia set generator code



**Figure 2:** Plot of weak scaling for Julia set generator code The dashed line shows the fitted curve based on Gustafson's law.

https://www.kth.se/blogs/pdc/2018/11/scalability-strong-and-weak-scaling/



- Processors today have multiple cores, and obtaining high performance requires parallel programming.
- Programming directly on processor cores is painful and error-prone.
- OpenMP abstracts processor cores, handles synchronization and communication protocols, and implements load-balancing methods.
- Scalability is important for efficient parallel computing.
- Homework 2: Particle simulation in OpenMP

#### Summary

